

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.  10/041,949 01/07/2002 Jeffrey H. Burbank 265/064 5362  21890 7590 09/28/2004 EXAMINER  PROSKAUER ROSE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 1585 BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3762					···-		
21890 7590 09/28/2004  PROSKAUER ROSE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 1585 BROADWAY  EXAMINER  BIANCO, PATRICIA  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO			
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 1585 BROADWAY  BIANCO, PATRICIA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	10/041,949	01/07/2002	Jeffrey H. Burbank 265/064 536		5362		
PATENT DEPARTMENT 1585 BROADWAY  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	21890 7	7590 09/28/2004		EXAMINER			
1585 BROADWAY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PROSKAUER ROSE LLP			BIANCO, PATRICIA			
1585 BRUADWAY	PATENT DEP	ARTMENT		I I	DARED NUMBER		
NEW YORK, NY 10036-8299 3762	1585 BROADWAY			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	NEW YORK,	NY 10036-8299		3762			

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/041,9	949	BURBANK ET AL.	M			
Off	ice Action Summary	Examine	er	Art Unit	†	<u></u>		
		Patricia N	M Bianco	3762				
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
A SHORTEN THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD IS DATE OF THIS COMMUN me may be available under the provision DNTHS from the mailing date of this comreply specified above is less than thirty (reply is specified above, the maximum swithin the set or extended period for reply ed by the Office later than three months arm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. 30) days, a reply within the sta statutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication	n.		
Status								
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 16 July 2004.						
,	ation is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
3)☐ Since t								
Disposition of C	laims							
4a) Of t 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s	s) <u>142-148 and 156-161</u> is/a he above claim(s) is/s s) is/are allowed. s) <u>142-148 and 156-161</u> is/a s) is/are objected to. s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from co	onsideration.					
Application Pap	ers							
10)⊠ The dra Applicat Replace	ecification is objected to by the wing(s) filed on OT January on may not request that any objected that drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected.	2002 is/are: a)⊠ accection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d	d).		
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	rledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati attached detailed Office acti	y documents have be y documents have be s of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive lle 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National \$	Stage			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of Refer	rences Cited (PTO-892)	16.00	4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draft</li> <li>Information Dis</li> </ol>	sperson's Patent Drawing Review ( sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o ail Date <u>5/6/02</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: Detailed Active	atent Application (PTO	-152)			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Amendment

Applicant cancelled claims 1-141 & 149-155 and added new claims 156-161.

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 142-148 and 156-161 acknowledged.

## Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings, which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

## Specification

Applicant has indicated co-pending applications in the first paragraph of the specification. The first page of the specification should be updated to clarify the status of all related applications noted in the first paragraph of the specification. The status of nonprovisional parent application(s) (whether patented or abandoned) should also be included. If a parent application has become a patent, the expression "now Patent No.\_\_\_\_\_\_" should follow the filing date of the parent application. If a parent application has become abandoned, the expression "now abandoned" should follow the filing date of the parent application.

Art Unit: 3762

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains legal phraseology (i.e. comprising) and does not give a good description of what the claimed invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 144 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 144 does not further limit the independent claim. Claim 144 recites "further comprising a valve that operates to close and open the outflow channel" and this limitation is recited in claim 142 in lines 4 & 5.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 142, 144-147 & 156-161 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Polaschegg (5,522,998). Polaschegg discloses a dialysis apparatus having a balance chamber (30) which may be seen to be one chamber (30) or two chambers (34,36). The chamber further includes inlet and outlet lines for fluid moving into and out of the chamber. The system further has pumps along the fluid lines for moving fluid though the system and valves positioned along the fluid lines to control the fluid flow. The system further has a control and regulation unit that is coupled to the pumps and valves for control of their actions or cycles.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/041,949

Art Unit: 3762

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 143 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polaschegg ('395) in view of Utterberg (5,360,395). Polaschegg, however, fails to disclose specifically that the pump is a roller pump. Utterberg teaches the use of a roller pump within a tubing segment for use in a dialysis treatment apparatus to move fluid through the tubing of the system. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art to substitute the pump of Polaschegg to be a roller pump, since it is well known in the art to use roller pumps for moving fluids through medical lines and roller pumps are relatively inexpensive.

Claim 148 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Polaschegg ('395) in view of Brugger et al. (5,693,008). Polaschegg, however, fails to disclose specifically that the valve is a pinch clamp. Brugger teaches the use of a pinch clamps along tubing segments for use in a dialysis treatment apparatus to easily control the stop of fluid moving through the tubing of the system. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art to substitute the valves of Polaschegg to be pinch clamps, since it is well known in the art to use pinch clamps

Art Unit: 3762

along tubing segments for use in a dialysis treatment to easily control the stop of fluid moving through the tubing of the system.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia M Bianco whose telephone number is (703) 305-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-6:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 25<sup>th</sup>, 2004

Patricia M Bianco Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762